

SUSTAINABLE AQUATIC RESEARCH www.saquares.com

# Fish, coral and community structure: 35 years of change on Wistari Reef, Australia

Gerald B. Goeden<sup>1</sup>\*<sup>(D)</sup>, Mohamad Saupi Ismail<sup>2</sup><sup>(D)</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup> Carbon Collectors BV, 9727 KB Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Fisheries Research Institute, Department of Fisheries Malaysia, Batu Maung, 11960 Pulau Pinang, Malaysia

#### Citation

Goeden, G.B. & Ismail, M.S. (2022). Fish, coral and community structure: 35 years of change on Wistari Reef, Australia. *Sustainable Aquatic Research*, 1(3), 147-164.

#### **Article History**

Received: 12 September 2022 Received in revised form: 14 October 2022 Accepted: 14 October 2022 Available online: 24 December 2022

#### **Corresponding Author**

Gerald B. Goeden E-mail: gerry@carboncollectors.nl Tel: +6019-6595494

#### Keywords

Coral cover Rugosity Fish abundance Great Barrier Reef

#### Introduction

The decline in living coral cover on reefs has been documented since the 1980s throughout the Caribbean and the Indo-Pacific regions (Gardner et al., 2003; Bruno & Selig, 2007; Edmunds and Elahi, 2007) and reported for the Great Barrier Reef (De'ath et al., 2012). This is likely to have had far-reaching impacts on fish abundance and community structure. As a consequence of bio-abrasion and CaCO<sub>3</sub> dissolution, there is a gradual reduction in three-dimensional structure that follows the loss of complex corals (Green et

## Abstract

One hundred sites in 10 grids at Wistari Reef, Queensland were surveyed in 1971, 1999, and again in 2006. The abundance of taxa incorporating 205 fish species were recorded along with estimates of live coral cover and rugosity during each survey. We found that fishes partitioned the reef slope at different scales with more abundant taxa being more patchily distributed within a habitat type but occupying more habitats and a greater share of the reef slope. Rare taxa were more evenly distributed within a habitat type but occupied fewer habitats. Over the 35-year period, there was a decline in fish abundance, rugosity, and coral cover. There were weak relationships between fish abundance and coral cover and stronger relationships between fish abundance and rugosity across the 10 habitat types supporting the idea that fish are more dependent on three-dimensional complexity than on the presence of a healthy coral community.

> al., 2008). This is described by Alvarez-Filip et al. (2009), as "flattening"; a slow collapse of the reef framework into a less complex habitat. Their study was "the first regionwide analysis of changes in reef architectural complexity, using nearly 500 surveys across 200 reefs, between 1969 and 2008" and found that, "The architectural complexity of Caribbean reefs has declined nonlinearly with the near disappearance of the most complex reefs over the last 40 years." It is thought that habitats with greater rugosity facilitate species coexistence through niche partitioning and the provision of important

refuges from predators and environmental stressors (Bruno & Bertness, 2001; Willis et al., 2005). Numerous researches have documented the role of rugosity in the structure and composition of fish assemblage (McCormick, 1994; Robertson, 1996; Gratwicke & Speight, 2005a; Kuffner et al., 2007; Komyakova et al., 2013; Newman et al., 2015). Aronson and Precht (1995) used rugosity as a measure of disturbance in reef ecosystems.

The reef predator, *Plectropomus leopardus* (Lacepède, 1802), is one of the more commercially important Great Barrier Reef fish species. The biology of this species, known as the "coral trout", was described by Goeden (1978), and its role in the ecosystem (Goeden, 1982) established this predator as a "keystone species". During this early research, it was observed that the fishes that form the prey of the coral trout were patchily distributed over the reef slope, the major predatory field, as was the predator itself.

Of particular interest was the possible influence of the structural nature of the substrate on the biodiversity and composition of the coral trout prey assemblages (Bradbury & Goeden, 1974). Since those first surveys, this topic has gained considerable attention in the fish ecology literature (Sale, 1977; McCormick, 1994; Szmant, 1997; Komyakova et al., 2013; Darling et al., 2017). Most studies have concluded that high structural complexity is correlated with greater fish species richness (Luckhurst & Luckhurst, 1978; Chabanet et al., 1997; Gratwicke & Speight, 2005b; Paddack et al. 2009) or the abundance of specific trophic groups (Hixon & Beets, 1993; Torres-Pulliza et al., 2020; Russ et al., 2021).

More recently, research has shown that measures of fish biodiversity are correlated with underlying changes in structural complexity, with biodiversity falling as coral loss follows cyclones (Connell et al., 1997) or other stressors (Jones et al., 2004; Wilson et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2009; Darling et al., 2017; Torres-Pulliza et al., 2020). The goal of this study was to compare the relationships among fish community parameters with the reef structural parameters of rugosity and coral cover at Wistari Reef and specifically, to see how these parameters might have changed and interacted over a 35-year period.

Bradbury and Goeden (1974) found that the behavior of the Wistari Reef taxa in partitioning their environment could be explained by common strategies employed on different scales. The rarer taxa were responding to an environmental grain that was different and less coarse than the one to which the common taxa responded. Sale (1977) stated, "Current data indicate that many reef fishes do not finely partition resources of food or living space, that they are often, if not usually, limited by the supply of suitable living space." This study attempts to illuminate the relationships among rare and common taxa, fish abundance, coral cover, and reef rugosity in a changing threedimensional environment.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Bradbury and Goeden (1974), we had originally selected 10 large grids around Wistari Reef, Queensland, Australia. Each grid was divided into ten parallel 5m x 20m belt transects (referred to for brevity as cells) in which were counted the abundance of species, genera, and families with the aim of discovering any differences in habitat utilization among these differentiations.

Data were collected during low tides to minimize the movement, and therefore differences in the fish community, between the reef flat and reef slope at different tides. Initial sampling took place during November, 1971, and was repeated with smaller cells during January-February, 1999, and during January, 2006 all in slight to moderate weather. For the sake of comparison, the taxa list from 1971 was repeated in 1999 and again in 2006. Cells were laid out using marked polyethylene ropes. Their end positions were "permanently" marked with concrete blocks that were readily identified by outline over the 35-year period. The shallowest cells were in about 2m depth at low tide followed by

Sustainable Aquatic Research (2022) 1(3):147-164

deeper habitat types reaching depths of about 20m. Reef slopes included an exposed windward face, a steeply descending channel with strong currents, and a sandy back reef area. Counting took place 1-2 full days after the cells were laid out so that fish could return. In this study, we use data from 10 cells within each of 10 habitat types (100 cell total) around the perimeter of Wistari Reef in the Capricorn Group, Australia. Each rectangular cell counted during 1999 and 2006 measured 5m x 10m with the long axis parallel to the reef crest. These were smaller than the first samples and corresponded to the center

portions of the cells used by Bradbury and Goeden (1974) so that their analysis is replicated in later sampling of cells. The 1971 data was scaled to later cell size. These habitat types are characterized in detail by Bradbury and Goeden (1974).

Grid locations were well distributed around the perimeter of the reef (Figure 1). Bradbury and Goeden (1974) had separated these habitat types based on distinctive appearance and these same distinctions are used here. Figure 2 is descriptive of those habitat types.



**Figure 1.** Locations shown on screen shot with superimposed navigational marks (red dots) indicating approximate locations of the sampled areas. (based on Google Earth).

#### Goeden and Ismail 2022





Figure 2. Examples of ten habitat types used in this survey.

Numbers of fish in each of the taxa were assessed through underwater visual counting to an elevation of about 2.5-3m above the substrate; the equivalent of a belt transect as described by Nadon and Stirling (2006).

Note that our comparisons here are between 'taxa' rather than species. Bradbury and Goeden (1974) counted an estimated 205 species and then compressed these to genera and families for analysis (1) to overcome possible identification problems, especially in "mixed schools," and (2) to determine the partitioning at a more 'functional' level; i.e., deemed to be functionally similar and paralleling the functional groups of Williams and Polunin (2001). Table 1 lists the taxa included in this study and their functional/trophic group.

I.D. This Paper

(Taxon No.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

Est. No. of **Generalized Trophic Group1** Taxon Species Abudefdufidae<sup>2</sup> 20 Planktivore/Omnivore Acanthuridae (Tangs & Surgeons) 14 Grazer Acanthuridae (Unicorns) 5 Grazer 28 Invertivore/Coralivore Chaetodontidae Chromidae (Chromis)<sup>2</sup> 6 **Omnivore**/Planktivore Chromidae (Dascyllus)<sup>2</sup> 3 **Planktivore** Invertivore/ Carnivore Labridae 45

5

10

10

12

20

8

19

Table 1. Description of Taxa counted within cells.

Lethrinidae

Lutianidae

Scaridae

Siganidae

Pomacanthidae

Pomacentridae

Epinephelidae

<sup>1</sup>Trophic groups in bold type are considered the dominant form.

<sup>2</sup> *Abudefduf*, *Chromis*, and *Dascyllus* were classified in different families at the time of the 1974 surveys but were later moved to the Pomacentridae. We have repeated the earlier taxonomy for continuity.

Carnivore

Carnivore

Grazer

Grazer

Piscivore

Invertivore/Grazer

**Omnivore**/Planktivore

Six major trophic categories (planktivores, invertivores. grazers, omnivores. carnivores, and piscivores) were distinguished. Although most groups consume more than one category of food, we followed the systems used by others (Paddack et al., 2009; Alvarez-Filip et al., 2011; Froese & Pauly (2021). Abundance was recorded as an estimated number of fish per cell. Coral cover was measured down the center of the long axis of each cell using a 10m tape and the line transect method, as described by Nadon and Stirling (2006). Data was recorded as meters of live coral per 10m tape. These transects amounted to 100m total per Habitat Type. Rugosity was measured along the same axis as the line transect by using a metal chain with links of 15mm nominal length and 10m long. The chain rugosity method was first described by Risk (1972) for the Caribbean and on the Great Barrier Reef by the author (Goeden, 1971) at Heron Island. In this study, we have used the more convenient mathematical relationship (after Wellington, 1982), as follows:

Ru = 1 - d/L where

Ru is rugosity (dimensionless),

d is the horizontal distance the draped chain reached along a horizontal tape, (m)

L is the length of the horizontal tape, (m).

Where rugosity was greater than about 0.3, a second measure was taken along the tape and the two were combined. Since these first studies, rugosity has become an important measure of reef complexity (Luckhurst & Luckhurst, 1978; Carpenter et al., 1981; Turnigan, 1991; McCormick, 1994; Friedlander & Parrish, 1998; Gratwicke & Speight, 2005a; Kuffner et al., 2007; Shumway et al., 2007; Wilson et al., 2007; Purkis et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2009).

Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using one-tailed t-tests. Statistical significance was claimed at (p<0.10). Coefficients of determination,  $R^2$ , were calculated for all regressions using Microsoft Excel analysis software.

## RESULTS

## Fish abundance

Bradbury and Goeden (1974) demonstrated that, "The occupancy of habitats at a fine scale was largely indeterminate, but once occupied by a subset of the ensemble, other taxa were not found." We combined the data for 1971, 1999 and 2006 in Figure 3 to see if the three sampling periods followed the same relationship. More abundant taxa did, in fact, occupy a greater number of cells (where 100 was the maximum cells available) than less abundant taxa over the 35-year period. The logarithmic regression of the number of occupied cells on taxon abundance was highly correlated  $(R^2=0.8747)$ , so that taxa abundance explained the majority of the variance in the partitioning of the reef slope in 1971, 1999 and in 2006. The derived mathematical relationship is exponential and likely to explain much larger areas of the Wistari Reef slope and lagoon. The more common taxa (abundance of more than about 2,500 fish in each set of samples or about 0.5m<sup>-2</sup>) that would be expected to follow the regression line more closely were limited by the maximum of 100 cells in the samples. "cell-limited" Removing these taxa generated a very similar regression where the number of cells was related to abundance by  $y = 21.145\ln(x) - 55.495$  (R<sup>2</sup>) = 0.8998) and served as a better predictor of abundant taxa distribution over larger areas and more diverse habitats.



Figure 3. Comparison of the number of cells occupied by rare and common taxa (1971, 1999, and 2006 data are included in the regression).

The abundance of the combined taxa within each of the ten habitat types in 1971 with the same habitat types in 1999 and 2006 was compared for changes in the distribution of fishes over the reef slope with time (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Comparison of fish abundance by habitat type 1999, 2006 vs 1971.

The linear regression line for 1999 on the 1971 data was a good fit ( $R^2 = 0.9327$ ), with the 1971 fish abundance explaining most of the variance during the 1999 survey (Figure 4). This linear relationship demonstrated an overall decrease in fish abundance between the two sampling dates. Abundance during 1999 decreased a little more in the Large Staghorn, Large Tabular, and Smooth Heads. Plotting a 4<sup>th</sup> order polynomial regression through the data produced a higher correlation  $(R^2 = 0.9702)$  and supported the view that habitats with lower fish abundances and those with higher fish abundances changed less over the 28-year period than those closer to the mean. The inflexion point was near the 1971 value of ~1,750 fish per Habitat Type (i.e., ~3.5 fish  $m^{-2}$ ).

The linear regression line for 2006 abundance on the 1971 data was very similar to 1999. The total abundance for 2006 (17,128 fish) appeared to be lower than that for 1971 (18,153 fish) but greater than that for 1999 (12,492 fish). The linear regression of 2006 abundance in 1971 was highly correlated ( $R^2 = 0.8867$ ) with fish abundance in each habitat type during the 1971 survey explaining most of the variance in fish abundance during 2006, some 35 years later. Abundance during 2006 decreased a little more in the Low Patches,

Large Tables, and Smooth Heads. Plotting a  $4^{\text{th}}$  order polynomial regression through the data produced a higher correlation ( $R^2 = 0.9417$ ). The inflexion point for this regression was (like the 1999 regression) near the 1971 value of ~1,750 fish per Habitat Type (i.e., ~3.5 fish m<sup>-2</sup>).

Analysis revealed that there was a significant increase in the abundance of Taxon 2 (Acanthuridae), a significant decrease in Taxon 8 (Lethrinidae), a significant decrease Taxon in 9 (Lutjanidae), and a significant decrease in Taxon 14 (Epinephelidae) over the 35 years from 1971 to 2006 (see Table 2). The taxon that significantly increased its abundance over the 35-year period was classified as a grazer and those that decreased were carnivores and/or piscivores. Apparent changes in the abundance of the remaining taxa were not statistically significant. Statistical analysis of the number of cells occupied by each taxon showed no difference between the two samples and averaged 61.6%., Our data showed (Table 2) an average decrease in recorded abundance of 16.5%, but this was not statistically significant given the high variance among habitat types created by the changing and indeterminate fine scale distribution of taxa.

Table 2. Taxon abundance 1971 vs 2006.									
Taxon	No. of	No. of	Density	No. of	No. of	Density	No. of	Density	2006 vs 1971
	Fish	Cells	in Cells	Fish	Cells	in Cells	Fish	in Cells	Abundance
	1971	Max	Fish/M <sup>2</sup>	2006	Max	Fish/M <sup>2</sup>	Change	Change	Across
		=100			=100		C	0	Habitats
(2) Grazer	279	75	0.074	477	79	0.121	+71.0%	+63.5%	p<0.10
									Acanthuridae
(8) Carnivore	65	23	0.057	33	16	0.041	-49.2%	-28.1%	p < 0.05
									Lethrinidae
(9) Carnivore	94	28	0.067	148	32	0.093	-57.4%	+38.8%	p < 0.10
. ,									Lutjanidae
(14) Piscivore	171	84	0.041	130	64	0.041	-24.0%	0.0%	p<0.05
									Epinephelidae
Totals	18153	AV	AV	15154	AV	AV	-16.5%	-0.4%	No
		61.8%	DENS		61.4%	DENS			differences in
			$0.288/m^2$			$0.250/m^2$			totals

154

#### **Coral reef decline**

In view of the protected status of Wistari Reef, we looked at changes in the physical environment that might have affected the fish community. Our measures for physical change were live coral cover and rugosity and our assumption was that a decrease in either could be reflected as a reduction in the "health" of the reef community. Total coral cover has been commonly used to assess changes in coral-reef ecosystem condition or health (Gardner et al., 2003; Mumby et al., 2007; Ismail & Goeden, 2020; Ismail et al., 2022). By plotting the average coral cover of each habitat type (Figure 5) and the average rugosity of each habitat type (Figure 6), changes in the physical substrate over time were more apparent.



Figure 5. Changes in coral cover by habitat type 1999, 2006 vs 1971.



Figure 6. Changes in rugosity by habitat type 1999, 2006 vs 1971.

The polynomial regressions of average coral cover of each habitat type in 1999 and 2006 on our measurements in 1971 demonstrated high correlations between the sampling periods ( $R^2 = 0.84$  and 0.88, respectively). The average coral cover appeared to have declined from 48.65% to

34.04% over the full 35-year period and statistically significant changes (one-tailed t-test) occurred in 7 of the 10 Habitat Types (Table 3). The apparent change in the means of the two data sets (14.6% less) was not statistically significant due to the large variance among Habitat Types.

Habitat Type	Change	Direction	Significance
Low Patch Corals	15.1%	Less	p < 0.05
Large Stag Thicket	25.1%	Less	p < 0.01
Smooth Coral Heads	19.5%	Less	p < 0.01
Compact Slope	25.8%	Less	p < 0.01
Open Slope	13.9%	Less	p < 0.05
Gutters	16.8%	Less	p < 0.01
Compact Flat Areas	12.6%	Less	p < 0.01
Average	14.6%	~Less	=

 Table 3. Recorded changes in % coral cover between the 1971 and 2006 samples.

The regressions of average rugosity of each habitat type in 1999 and 2006 on measurements in 1971 showed both very high linear and polynomial correlations between the sampling periods (linear was  $R^2 = 0.925$  and 0.929 respectively; polynomial was  $R^2 = 0.965$  and 0.982 respectively). Habitat Types closer to the average rugosity fared worse over time experiencing a greater decline than those with very low or very high rugosity.

Significant changes (one-tailed t-test) over the full 35-year period only occurred in Large Stag Thickets, Large Tabular, Smooth Coral Heads, and Open Slope (Table 4). The apparently contradictory rugosity increase in Large Tabular Habitat Type can be explained by the death and collapse of many corals leaving deep holes between living colonies where plates once overlapped.

**Table 4.** Changes in rugosity index between the 1971 and 2006 habitat types.

Habitat Type	Change (Ru)	Direction	Significance	
Large Stag Thicket	0.076	Less	p < 0.01	-
Large Tabular	0.034	More	p < 0.10	
Smooth Coral Heads	0.069	Less	p < 0.10	
Open Slope	0.079	Less	p < 0.05	
Average	0.024	~Less	=	

If rugosity was generated by live coral cover and coral death resulted in structural changes to the reef, then we would expect a close relationship between the two measures. In Figure 7, we compared regression lines of rugosity on coral cover for all of the cells in 1971 and 2006 combined so as to cover the longest time span. We have chosen these two dates to include what we expect would be any longterm changes (e.g., "flattening") of the physical structure of the reef. The linear regression of rugosity on the coral cover in 200 surveyed cells was not strongly correlated ( $R^2 = 0.4641$ , N=200).



Figure 7. Rugosity vs coral cover 1971 and 2006.

The slightly better  $R^2$  for the combined data was the exponential regression line (y =  $0.0824e^{2.3696x}$ ,  $R^2 = 0.5409$ ); i.e., increasing coral cover explained about 54% of the variance in rugosity in samples of the same locations 35 years apart.

We compared the abundance of fishes in the Wistari Reef cells with live coral cover using the combined data sets from 1971 and 2006 to include any long-term trends. Both linear and exponential regressions of fish abundance within each cell on coral cover in that cell were poorly correlated ( $R^2 = 0.2533$  and  $R^2 = 0.3597$ , respectively). In both cases, live coral cover explained only about a third of the variance in fish abundance.

By comparing fish abundance with the combined rugosity data for 1971 and 2006 (Figure 8), a linear regression of fish abundance within each cell with the rugosity in that cell was moderately correlated ( $R^2 = 0.6636$ ). A slightly better correlation was found for the exponential regression of fish abundance on rugosity

 $(R^2 = 0.681)$ . In both cases, rugosity explained about two-thirds of the variance in fish abundance, i.e., rugosity had about twice the "impact" on the abundance of fishes as live coral cover.



Figure 8. Fish abundance vs rugosity in 1971 and 2006.

#### DISCUSSION

The original surveys by Bradbury and Goeden (1974) and Goeden (1978) found that the way in which fishes partitioned their environment depended on scale, with the abundant taxa being patchily more distributed within a specific habitat type but occupying space over more kinds of habitat type and so over more of the reefscape. The question arises as to the validity of this relationship over a longer time period; or was the 1974 observation an anomaly? We tested that theory here by comparing data from those same locations at three different times over a period of 35 years and found that, while there have been changes in the relative proportions of taxa, more abundant species continued to occupy more kinds of habitat types and were more widely distributed. Less common taxa were still more evenly distributed within a given habitat type but occupied fewer habitat types. Combined data for 1971, 1999 and 2006 demonstrated this clearly in Figure 3: the common thread in defining the habitat preference of fishes is less about species to species competition (Sale, 1977) and more about the "nature" of the fish's surroundings and the scale at which these

are measured by the fish. It is possible that the lumping of species into larger groups could have obscured temporal trends for individual species (Elliott et al., 2018). More targeted approaches may be useful in future studies.

Our results demonstrated a significant decline in the numbers of Lethrinidae, Epinephelidae Lutjanidae, and (all predators) and a significant increase in the Acanthuridae (grazers) over the 35-year period. It is known that fishing can cause trophic cascades through reef fish assemblages (Russ et al., 2021). In the absence of fishing pressure, the observed declines can be interpreted as suggesting that, for many reef fishes, changes in their abundance and distribution were likely to have been linked to changes in their physical environment. Increases in grazer abundance suggest that fish may be responding to reduced predation or increased algal productivity (Nemeth & Appeldoorn, 2009). In fact, recent research suggests that nutritional resources may be more important in structuring herbivorous fish communities than top-down factors (predation risk and shelter availability) (Tootell & Steele, 2016; Tebbett et al.,

2020). When we look at how fish abundance changed, we find that the greater changes occurred in those habitat types that supported fish densities nearer the 1971 mean value of ~1,750 fish per surveyed habitat type (i.e.,  $\sim 3.5 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ). Habitat types that had supported low numbers of fish continued to support low numbers, while those habitat types that supported large numbers of fish continued to support large numbers, although not as large. We confirm that there were significant changes in both coral cover (7 out of 10 habitat types) and rugosity (4 out of 10) over this period and that all but one of these were decreases. The much lower fish abundance in 1999 may have been influenced by the bleaching event in early 1998 (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007) or cyclone damage. However, Connell et al. (1997), in looking at the impact of cyclones on neighboring Island Heron corals (1967, '72, '76, '80 and '92), stated, "On the deeper transects, cover and density fluctuated only slightly."

Combining the data (200 cells counted) with a span of 35 years between them produced an exponential regression of rugosity on coral cover, but this was poorly correlated ( $R^2$ =0.2533). Fuad (2010) found that coral cover was linearly correlated with rugosity in Bunakin National Park ( $R^2$  = 0.321 p<0.01). Our results supported the premise that coral cover and rugosity were "mostly independent" and thus provided an opportunity to look at the separate contributions of each to the abundance of coral reef fishes.

We found that the correlation for the linear regression of fish abundance on coral cover for the combined data was weak and was only marginally better for the exponential regression ( $R^2 = 0.3597$ ). This contrasts to some degree with Komyakova et al. (2013), who found a "critical" relationship between coral richness and cover and fish populations. A linear regression of fish abundance within each cell with the

rugosity in that cell was moderately correlated ( $R^2 = 0.6636$ ). A slightly better correlation was found for the exponential regression of fish abundance on rugosity  $(R^2 = 0.681)$ . In both of these regressions, rugosity explained about two-thirds of the variance in fish abundance, i.e., rugosity had about twice the "impact" on the abundance of reef fishes as live coral cover. These findings were supported by Nemeth & Appeldoorn (2009), who found that once the effects of depth were minimized, habitat areas of high topographic relief were positively correlated with biomass. Indeed, several recent studies have argued that the relationship should be unimodal because, as complexity increases, the amount of area available for individuals to live declines (Allouche et al., 2012; Paxton et al., 2017; Torres-Pulliza et al., 2020). We postulate that this may be true with the caveats of corals that produce smaller spaces and/or larger inhabitants. We saw no evidence of a unimodal relationship in our results.

While some of the taxa may have had some degree of biological 'interdependence' with living corals, there appeared to be a greater dependence by the fish community on the physical shelter and niche space the coral structures provided, supporting the arguments of Bruno and Bertness (2001) and Willis et al. (2005). In parallel with our results, Nugraha et al. (2020) found a significantly correlated relationship ( $R^2 =$ 0.709) between coral reef fish density and rugosity in East Java waters. They found only a weak and surprising inverse relationship between fish density and coral cover ( $R^2 = 0.108$ ). These results are in contrast to Komyakova et al. (2013), that found that habitat "complexity did not appear to influence overall fish species richness and abundance" as much as coral cover and richness.

Unfortunately, our long-term study revealed that the gradual reduction in rugosity on Wistari Reef was following a long-term decrease in coral cover and leading to the "flattening" (described by

Alvarez-Filip et al., 2009; Elliott et al., 2018) and the slow collapse of the reef framework into less complex habitats. Substantially lower coral cover and rugosity have been reflected in the abundance of many coral reef fishes, but it is the functional role of the 3-dimensional structure that is actually changing (Graham et al., 2015). Newman et al. (2015) stress the importance of "the need to maintain structure above a critical threshold," and Aragón et al. (2019) suggest a minimum live coral recovery threshold of 10% (in this equivalent Ru>0.1). study, to The continuation of this phenomenon can only lead to a parallel collapse in the fish community and eventual degradation of what was an extraordinarily biodiverse coral reef.

## CONCLUSIONS

We can confirm that fishes partitioned the reef slope at different scales, with more abundant taxa being more patchily distributed within a habitat type but occupying more habitats and a greater share of the reef slope in the same habitat types. This continued over a 35-year period despite changes in the physical structure of the reef. Over that same period, there were significant declines in rugosity and coral cover apparently resulting in reduced fish abundance. Of these two metrics, there were relationships stronger between fish abundance and rugosity across the 10 habitat types. We concluded from these results that the three-dimensional complexity of the reef (defined here as rugosity) was central to and more influential than coral cover in supporting a healthy reef fish community. Similarly, we conclude that the decline in rugosity over this period parallels the concurrent "flattening" of the Caribbean reefs (described by Alvarez-Filip et al., 2009; Elliott et al., 2018).

## Acknowledgments

The authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Ethical approval**

The authors declare that this study complies with research and publication ethics.

## Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

## **Funding organizations**

No funding was available.

## **Autor Contribution**

Gerald B. Goeden: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-original draft, Review and editing.

Mohamad Saupi Ismail: Writing-original draft, Review and editing.

## REFERENCES

Allouche, O., Kalyuzhny, M., Moreno-Rueda, G., Pizarro, M., & Kadmon, R. (2012). Area heterogeneity tradeoff and the diversity of ecological communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109, 17495–17500.

Alvarez-Filip, L., Dulvy, N.K., Gill, J.A., Co<sup>te'</sup>, I.M., & Watkinson, A.R. (2009). Flattening of Caribbean coral reefs: regionwide declines in architectural complexity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 276, 3019–3025. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0339</u>.

Alvarez-Filip, L., Gill, J.A., & Dulvy, N.K. (2011). Complex reef architecture supports more small-bodied fishes and longer food chains on Caribbean reefs. *Ecosphere*, *2*(10), 1-17. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/ES11-00185.1</u>.

H.C., Aragón, Armenteros, M., Valderrama, S.P., Villiers, N.R., Rojas, D.C., Verdecia, K.C., & Alcolado P.M. (2019). Ecological Menéndez, condition of coral reef assemblages in the Archipelago. Cuban Marine Biology 61-73. Research, 15,

https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2019.15 77557

Aronson, R.B., & Precht, W.F. (1995). Landscape patterns of reef coral diversity: A test of the intermediate disturbance hypothesis. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *192*, 1-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-</u> 0981(95)00052-S.

Bradbury, R.H., & Goeden, G. (1974). The partitioning of the reef slope environment by resident fishes. *Proceedings of the Second International Coral Reef Symposium*, 2, 167-178.

Bruno, J.F., & Bertness, M.D. (2001). Habitat modification and facilitation in benthic marine communities. In M.D. Bertness, et al. (Eds). *Marine Community Ecology* (pp. 201-218). Sinauer Associates, Sunderland.

Bruno, J.F., & Selig, E.R. (2007). Regional decline of coral cover in the Indo-Pacific: Timing, extent and subregional comparisons. *PLoS One*, 2(8), e711. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.00007</u>11.

Carpenter, K.E., Miclat, R.I., Albaladejo, V.D., & Corpuz, V.T. (1981). The influence of substrate structure on the local abundance and diversity of Philippine reef fishes. *Proceedings of the Fourth International Coral Reef Symposium*, 2, 497–502.

Chabanet, P., Ralambondrainy, H., Amanieu, M., Faure, G., & Galzin, R. (1997). Relationships between coral reef substrata and fish. *Coral Reefs*, *16*, 93–102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380050063.

Connell, J.H., Hughes, T.P., & Wallace, C.C. (1997). A 30-year study of coral abundance, recruitment, and disturbance at several scales in space and time. *Ecological Monographs*, 67(4), 461–488.

Darling, E.S., Graham, N.A.J., Januchowski-Hartley, F.A., Nash, K.L., Morgan, S., Pratchett, M.S., & Wilson, S.K. (2017). Relationships between structural complexity, coral traits, and reef fish assemblages. *Coral Reefs*, *36*, 561–575. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-017-1539-</u><u>Z</u>.

De'ath, G., Fabriciusa, K.E., Sweatman, H., & Puotinen, M. (2012). The 27-year decline of coral cover on the Great Barrier Reef and its causes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(44), 17995-9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1208909109.

Edmunds, P.J., & Elahi, R. (2007). The demographics of a 15-year decline in cover of the Caribbean reef coral *Montastraea annularis*. *Ecological Monographs*, 77, 3–18.

Elliott, J.A., Patterson, M.R., Staub, C.G., Koonjul, M., & Elliott, S.M. (2018). Decline in coral cover and flattening of the reefs around Mauritius (1998-2010). *PeerJ*, 6, e6014. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6014.

Friedlander, A., & Parrish, J.D. (1998). Habitat characteristics affecting fish assemblages on a Hawaiian coral reef. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 224, 1–30.

Froese, R., & Pauly, D. (2021). FishBase. World Wide Web electronic publication. www.fishbase.org, version (08/2021).

Fuad, M.A.Z. (2010) *Coral reef rugosity and coral biodiversity*. [Doctoral dissertation, International Institute for Geoinformation Science and Earth Observation].

Gardner, T.A., Côté, I.M., Gill, J.A., Grant, A., & Watkinson, A.R. (2003). Long-term region-wide declines in Caribbean corals. *Science*, *301*(5635), 958-60. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1086050.

Goeden, G. (1971). A survey of reef flat coral cover at Heron Island, *Qld. National Parks Branch. Internal Report*, 17pp.

Goeden, G. (1978). A monograph of the coral trout, *Plectropomus leopardus* 

(Lacépède). *Queensland Fisheries Service*, *Research Bulletin*, 1, 42pp.

Goeden, G. (1982). Intensive fishing and a keystone predator species: Ingredients for community instability. *Biological Conservation*, 22(4), 273-283.

Graham, N.A.J., Jennings, S., MacNeil, M.A., Mouillot, D., & Wilson, S.K. (2015). Predicting climate-driven regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. *Nature*, *518*, 94–97.

Gratwicke, B., & Speight, M.R. (2005a). Effect of habitat complexity on Caribbean marine fish assemblages. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 292, 301-310. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps292301.

Gratwicke, B., & Speight, M.R. (2005b). The relationship between fish species richness, abundance and habitat complexity in a range of shallow tropical marine habitats. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *66*, 650– 667.

Green, D.H., Edmunds, P.J., & Carpenter, R.C. (2008). Increasing relative abundance of *Porites astreoides* on Caribbean reefs mediated by an overall decline in coral cover. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 359, 1–10.

Hixon, M.A., & Beets, J.P. (1993). Predation, prey refuges and the structure of coral-reef fish assemblages. *Ecological Monographs*, 63(1), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937124.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P.J., Hooten, A.J., Steneck, R.S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Harvell, C.D., Sale, P.F., Edwards, A.J., Caldeira, K., Knowlton, N., Eakin, C.M., Iglesias-Prieto, R., Muthiga, N., Bradbury, R.H., Dubi, A., & Hatziolos, M.E. (2007) Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. *Science*, *318*, 1737– 1742.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152509.

Ismail, M.S., & Gerald B. Goeden, G.B. (2020) Assessment of coral reefs community health in Pulau Berhala, Pahang, Malaysia. *Journal of PeerScientist*, 2(1), e1000017.

Ismail, M.S., Ilias, Z., Ismail, M.N., Goeden, G.B., Yap, C.K., Al-Mutairi, K.A., & Al-Shami, S.A. (2022). Coral health assessment of Pulau Anak Datai, Langkawi, Malaysia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-19133-</u> <u>×</u>

Jones, G.P., McCormick, M.I., Srinivasan, M., & Eagle, J.V. (2004). Coral decline threatens fish biodiversity in marine reserves. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(21), 8251–8253. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0401277101.

Komyakova, V., Munday, P.L., & Jones, G.P. (2013). Relative importance of coral cover, habitat complexity and diversity in determining the structure of reef fish communities. *PLoS One*, *8*(12), e83178. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.00831</u> 78.

Kuffner, I., Brock, J., Grober-Dunsmore, R., Bonito, V., Hickey, T., & Wright, C. (2007). Relationships between reef fish communities and remotely sensed rugosity measurements in Biscayne National Park, Florida, USA. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 78, 71–82. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-006-9078-</u> 4.

Luckhurst, B.E., & Luckhurst, K. (1978). Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral reef fish communities. *Marine Biology*, *49*, 317–323.

McCormick, M.I. (1994). Comparison of field method for measuring surface topography and their associations with a tropical reef fish assemblage. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, *112*(1/2), 87–96. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps112087.

Mumby, P.J., Hastings, A., & Edwards, H.J. (2007). Thresholds and the resilience of Caribbean coral reefs. *Nature*, *450*, 98–101.

Nadon, M. & Stirling, G. (2006). Field and simulation analyses of visual methods for sampling coral cover. *Coral Reefs*, 25, 177–185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-005-</u>0074-5.

Nemeth, M., & Appeldoorn, R. (2009). The distribution of herbivorous coral reef fishes within fore-reef habitats: The role of depth, light and rugosity. *Caribbean Journal of Science*, 45(2-3), 247-253.

Newman, S.P., Meesters, E.H., Dryden, C.S., Williams, S.M., Sanchez, C., Mumby, P.J., & Polunin, N.V.C. (2015). Reef flattening effects on total richness and species responses in the Caribbean. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 84, 1678–1689. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12429.

Nugraha, W.A., Mubarak, F., Husaini, E., & Evendi, H. (2020). The correlation of coral reef cover and rugosity with coral reef fish density in East Java Waters. *Jurnal Ilmiah Perikanan dan Kelautan*, *12*(1), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.20473/jipk.v12i1.14356.

Paddack, M.J., Reynolds, J.D., Aguilar, C., Appeldoorn, R.S., Beets, J., Burkett, E.W., Chittaro, P.M., Clarke, K., Esteves, R., Fonseca, A.C., Forrester, G.E., Friedlander, A.M., García-Sais, J., González-Sansón, G., Jordan, L.K., McClellan, D.B., Miller, M.W., Molloy, P.P., Mumby, P.J., ..., & Côté, I.M. (2009). Recent region-wide declines in Caribbean reef fish abundance. *Current Biology*, 19(7), 590-595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.041.

Paxton, A.B., Pickering, E.A., Adler, A.M., Taylor, J.C., & Peterson, C.H. (2017). Flat and complex temperate reefs provide similar support for fish: Evidence for a unimodal species-habitat relationship. *PLoS One, 12*, e0183906.

Purkis, S.J., Graham, A.J., Riegel, B.E. (2008). Predictability of reef fish diversity and abundance using remote sensing data in Diego Garcia (Chagos Archipelago). *Coral Reefs*, *27*, 167–178.

Risk, M.J. (1972). Fish diversity on a coral reef in the Virgin Islands. *Atoll Research* 

*Bulletin, 153,* 1-6. <u>https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00775630.153.1</u>.

Robertson, D.R. (1996). Interspecific competition controls abundance and habitat use of territorial Caribbean damselfishes. *Ecology*, 77, 885–899.

Russ, G.R., Rizzari, J.R., Abesamis, R.A., & Alcala, A.C. (2021). Coral cover a stronger driver of reef fish trophic biomass than fishing. *Ecological Applications*, 31(1), e02224.

https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2224.

Sale, P.F. (1977). Maintenance of high diversity in coral reef fish communities. *The American Naturalist*, *111*(978). 337–359. https://doi.org/10.1086/283164.

Shumway, C., Hofmann, H.A., & Dobberfuhl, A. (2007). Quantifying habitat complexity in aquatic ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, *52*, 1065–1076.

Szmant, A.M. (1997). Nutrient effects on coral reefs: A hypothesis on the importance of topographic and trophic complexity to reef nutrient dynamics. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Coral Reef Symposium*, 2, 1527-1532.

Tebbett, S.B., Streit, R.P., & Bellwood, D.R. (2020). A 3D perspective on sediment accumulation in algal turfs: Implications of coral reef flattening. *Journal of Ecology*, *108*,70–80. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13235</u>.

Tootell, J.S., & Steele, M.A. (2016). Distribution, behavior, and condition of herbivorous fishes on coral reefs track algal resources. *Oecologia*, *181*, 13–24. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3418-</u> <u>Z</u>.

Torres-Pulliza, D., Dornelas, M.A., Pizarro, O., Bewley, M., Blowes, S.A., Boutros, N., Brambilla, V., Chase, T.J., Frank, G., Friedman, A., Hoogenboom, M.O., Williams, S., Zawada, K.J.A., & Madin, J.S. (2020). A geometric basis for surface habitat complexity and biodiversity. *Nature Ecology and Evolution, 4*, 1495–1501. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1281-</u> <u>8</u>.

Turnigan, R.G. (1991). The influence of habitat complexity on diversity, abundance, and distribution of fish on a coral reef. *Proceedings of the Annual Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute*, 44, 759–766.

Walker, B.K., Jordan, L.K.B., & Spieler, R.E. (2009). Relationship of reef assemblages and topographic complexity on southeastern Florida coral reef habitats. *Journal of Coastal Research*, *53*(6), 39–48.

Wellington, G.M. (1982). Depth zonation of corals in the Gulf of Panama: Control and facilitation by resident reef fishes. *Ecological Monographs*, *52*(3), 224–241. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937329.

Williams, I.D., & Polunin, N.V.C. (2001). Large-scale associations between macroalgal cover and grazer biomass on mid-depth reefs in the Caribbean. *Coral Reefs*, 19, 358-366.

Willis, S., Winemiller, K., & Lopez-Fernandez, H. (2005). Habitat structural complexity and morphological diversity of fish assemblages in a Neotropical floodplain river. *Oecologia*, *142*, 284–295. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1723-</u> <u>Z</u>.

Wilson, S.K., Graham, N.A.J., Pratchett, M.S., Jones, G.P., & Polunin, N.V.C. (2006). Multiple disturbances and the global degradation of coral reefs: Are reef fishes at risk or resilient? *Global Change Biology*, *12*(11), 2220-2234. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-</u> <u>2486.2006.01252.x</u>.

Wilson, S.K., Polunin, N.V.C., & Graham, N.A.J. (2007). Appraisal of visual assessments of habitat complexity and benthic composition on coral reefs. *Marine Biology*, *151*, 1069–1076.