

Microplastics in Marine Ecosystems

Aliakbar Hedayati^{1*}, Mohammad Gholizadeh², Tahereh Bagheri³, Safoura Abarghouei⁴, Wahid Zamani⁵

¹*Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, Faculty of Fisheries and Environmental Sciences, Gorgan University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Gorgan, Iran*

²*Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Gonbad, Kavous University, Gonbad Kavous, Iran*

³*Offshore Fisheries Research Center, Iranian Fisheries Science and Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, Chabahar, Iran*

⁴*Baharavaran Nastaran Agricultural Applied Scientific Training Center, Applied Scientific University, Qom, Iran*

⁵*Department of Environmental Science, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran*

Citation

Hedayati, A., Gholizadeh, M., Bagheri, T., & Abarghouei, S. (2022). Microplastics in Marine Ecosystems. *Sustainable Aquatic Research*, 1(2), 63-73.

Artical History

Received: 5 June 2022

Received in revised form: 10 August 2022

Accepted: 12 August 2022

Available online: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author

Aliakbar Hedayati

E-mail: Hedayati@gau.ac.ir

Tel: +98 9131528572

Keywords

Emerging pollutants

Living Organisms

Plastic

Microplastic

Aquatic ecosystem

Abstract

Large plastic debris is broken down into smaller pieces by different mechanisms such as weathering, light degradation and biodegradation, eventually turning into microplastics (<5 mm). Microplastics can also enter the marine ecosystems directly via rivers, waste discharges, and the dumping of waste by the people. Marine organisms are directly and indirectly exposed to accidental feeding from microplastics. Microplastics, apart from the physical effects of being consumed by living organisms, can be a carrier of contaminants to be absorbed. Numerous studies have reported pollutants can be absorbed by microplastics and enriched in seas and oceans. Therefore, the study of the presence, distribution and accumulation of microplastics in aquatic ecosystems can create a comprehensive model in the integrated management of these emerging pollutants. In this study, the origin, fate and behavior of microplastics in marine ecosystems were examined to determine the sources of microplastics in these ecosystems and their effects on living organisms and trophic transmission.

Introduction

During the twentieth century, rapid industrialization and urbanization in coastal environments, along with the widespread production and use of synthetic materials in

various applications (industrial, pharmaceutical, urban and commercial) has greatly expanded, leading to many environmental issues (Masoudi et al., 2022; Burcea et al., 2020; Ates et al., 2020; Danabas et al., 2020). Since the development of synthetic polymers in the mid-twentieth

century, global production of plastics has increased significantly (Andrady, 2011; Derraik, 2002). Plastic waste has significant environmental and economic effects on marine systems. Low weight and high durability are two key useful properties for plastics that also turn waste plastics into an environmental threat. Plastics easily move long distances from contaminated areas and accumulate in cavities (mainly in the oceans), which have important environmental and economic effects (Thompson et al. 2009; UNEP 2005). Although studies on plastic pollution are mainly focused on marine systems, abandoned plastics also affect land and freshwater systems, including being eaten by animals, trapping animals, blocking drainage systems, and aesthetic effects (Ryan et al., 2009). These plastics accumulate in sediments along the shoreline in the tidal zone as well as in the pelagic zone, and in the bottom sediments (Browne et al., 2010; Thompson et al., 2009).

It is estimated that about 70 to 80% of the materials entering the marine environment are from land sources (Bowmer and Kershaw, 2010). In fact, plastic waste includes any type of disposable material resulting from human, industrial and plastic production activities that, regardless of its size and frequency, are found in the sea and beaches, and include indirect activities such as rivers. Streams, municipal wastewater treatment systems, floods, and winds that carry these substances into the sea or ocean (UNEP, 2005). Plastic waste has been reported extensively in marine environments around the world, even in places far from urban areas such as the Pacific islands (Moore et al., 2001). The main sources of plastic waste entering the ocean and affecting the marine environment are activities from urban areas including activities (industrial and human), boating, shipping, fishing and aquaculture. Materials are imported directly into the seas and oceans (Derraik, 2002; Ryan et al., 2009). Low weight, high strength, resistance to chemicals, flexibility, high buoyancy of foam and resin products and cost-effectiveness of plastics (Thompson et

al., 2009) make them so-called essential materials for everyday life. Currently the most widely used synthetic plastics include low-density polyethylene (LDPE), high-density polyethylene (HDPE), polypropylene (PP), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polystyrene (PS) and polyethylene terephthalates (PET). In total, these plastics represent 90% of the total weight of plastics production in the world (Andrady and Neal, 2009).

Origin, fate and behavior of microplastics in the marine ecosystem

Plastics are materials made from a wide range of synthetic and semi-synthetic organic compounds that can be formed when soft and then become very hard or slightly flexible. The International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) define plastics as polymeric materials that may contain other materials to improve performance or reduce costs (Vert et al., 2012). The main feature of this material is reflected in the etymology of the word plastic: the word plastic is derived from the two Greek words *plastikos* (πλαστικός) meaning "malleable" and *plastos* (πλαστός) meaning "molded". Other characteristics may include: ease of construction, low cost, impermeability to water and chemicals, as well as resistance to light and temperature (da Costa et al., 2016). These properties have led to the replacement of many materials with plastics, and plastics are now available in a wide range of products, from paper clips to ships (Andrady and Neal, 2009). This success has manifested itself in a variety of forms, and also biodegradable plastics due to increasing ecosystem concerns (Reddy et al., 2013). Therefore, given these diverse applications and versatility, the latest detailed report on world plastic production shows an increase.

During the 20th century, rapid industrialization and urbanization in coastal environments, along with widespread production and use of plastics in multiple applications (industrial, pharmaceutical, urban, and commercial), have greatly expanded, leading to many environmental issues. Since the development of synthetic

polymers in the mid-20th century, global production of plastics has increased significantly. In addition, world annual production of polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP) (the most popular forms in the marine ecosystem) grew at a rate of 8.7% per year between 1950 and 2012 (Andrady, 2017). Plastics enter the environment in a disposed form, such as packaging materials, and accumulates in it. Plastic waste is estimated to account for approximately 10% of all municipal waste worldwide (Barnes et al., 2009). Although some of this plastic waste is recycled, most of it goes to landfills, where it may take many years to decompose (Cole et al., 2011). The main concerns are about plastics entering the marine environment, which is estimated to account for about 10% of all plastics produced (Thompson, 2006). This kind of plastic debris, known as macroplastics, has long been the focus of ecosystem studies, including in certain areas of the sea that tend to accumulate due to the convergence of surface currents (Eriksen et al., 2013). Although plastics are highly durable in the environment, their surface weathers and produces a myriad of micro- to nano-sized plastic parts. Plastics are classified in the industry as one of the five major groups of polymers, together with fibers, coatings, adhesives, and elastomers (Andrady, 2011; Koelmans et al., 2015; Song et al., 2017). Common types of plastics used are: polyvinyl chloride (PVC), nylon and polyethylene terephthalate (PET), which tend to sink, and polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP) and polystyrene (PS) which tend to float (Avio et al., 2017). Other polymers include polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), polyamide (PA), polycarbonate (PC), acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS), and resistant polystyrene (HIPS) (Avio et al., 2017).

Plastics are rarely picked for recycling (less than 5%) which results in their accumulation in the sea, thus large plastic debris can disintegrate into smaller pieces through various mechanisms such as: weathering (Arthur et al., 2009; Andrady, 2017), light degradation (Barnes et al., 2009), and

biological decomposition (O'Brine and Thompson, 2010) and eventually become microplastics (< 5 mm) (Cole et al., 2011). Microplastics can also enter the sea directly via rivers, waste discharge, and the dumping of waste by people on the shore (Čulin and Bielić, 2016). Microplastics have been reported globally in the sea (Fok and Cheung, 2015; Isobe et al., 2017; La Daana et al., 2017). Marine organisms such as fishes (Lusher et al., 2017), marine birds (Amélineau et al., 2016), marine turtles (Tourinho et al., 2010), marine invertebrates (Davidson and Dudas, 2016), and marine mammals (Besseling et al., 2015), are exposed to random feeding of microplastics. Microplastics can absorb pollutants in marine areas and can act as a carrier for these pollutants to enter the marine food web (Reisser et al., 2014).

Sources of microplastics in the marine ecosystems

It is estimated up to 90% of plastic waste in the sea could be attributed to land activities (Duis and Coors, 2016). Human activities such as shipbuilding or ship recycling, industrial and urban cleaning, sewage, and tourist waste on shores may introduce microplastics (Čulin and Bielić, 2016). It is estimated that the amount of microplastics will increase significantly by 2025 (Jambeck et al., 2015). The remaining industrial and household microplastics are transported to the marine environment through wastewater or by natural events such as storms and floods. Another source of land-based microplastics is drugs, including swallowed and inhaled drugs. In these drugs, microplastics are used as drug carriers (Kockisch et al., 2003; Corbanie et al., 2006). Similar to personal hygiene products, microplastics in medicines enter the marine environment through wastewater (Cole et al., 2011). Another study has shown that atmospheric precipitation is probably another source of synthetic fibers in the marine environment, of which 29% are microplastic (Dris et al., 2016). The contribution from the rate of precipitation has been reported at

sampling sites from 2 to 355 pieces per square meter per day. These fibers are thought to come from several sources, including clothing and homes, the degradation of macroplastics, and the disposal and incineration of waste (Dris et al., 2016). Due to their low weight, microplastics can be transported by wind to the marine environment (Free et al., 2014).

Ocean resources of microplastics

The remaining 10 to 25 percent of marine plastics are produced from oceanic sources. Human activities such as shipping, fishing, recreational equipment, and the marine industry introduce large amounts of microplastics into the marine environment (Ramirez-Llodra et al., 2013). Research by Good et al. (2010) shows that about 64,000 tons of fishing tackle are dumped into the ocean each year. This study shows that floating plastics, including microplastics, accumulate in ship transport routes close to fishing areas and ocean convergence areas (Cózar et al., 2014). Discarded and lost fishing gear (called ghost gear) can trap marine life. Some microplastics have irregular shapes, indicating that they are made of larger plastic pieces such as fibers (Ribic et al., 2010).

Microplastic separation based on density differences (Density Separation)

Usually, concentrated salt solution (NaCl) with a density of 1.2 g cm^3 and ZnCl_2 with a density of 1.8 g cm^3 and 2.4 g cm^3 are used to separate and classify plastic particles from sediment particles. The density of plastic particles is between $2.3\text{-}0.8 \text{ g cm}^3$ depending on the type of polymer and the production process, and the density of sand particles or sediments is 2.65 g cm^3 . Concentrated salt solution (NaCl) is used to separate plastic particles with a density less than 2.1 g cm^3 , and ZnCl_2 will be used to separate plastic particles with a density higher than 1.2 g cm^3 (Zakeri et al., 2020).

Filtration: Purification of plastic particles from the supernatant obtained from the separation method based on density

difference is done by passing the solution containing plastic particles through a filter while applying vacuum.

Sieving: Microplastics from samples are separated using sieves with different mesh sizes. The use of sieves with different mesh sizes is used to classify microplastics in different categories.

Visual Sorting and Separation: Accurate sorting to separate plastics from other materials, such as organic contaminants (shell pieces, animal parts, dried algae or algae, etc.) and other items (colored coatings of metals, bitumen, glass, etc.) is done by directly examining the mixture with the naked eye or using a laboratory microscope.

Finally, the identification of microplastics will be completed using the "recovery of extracted samples" method. In this step, other materials that are attached to the surface of the extracted microplastics (such as sand and organic materials) are washed. There are different solvents for washing (H_2O_2 or one of NaOH , HCl or HNO_3) to break down the biological material on the surface of the plastic particles. The samples are immediately sorted and the microplastics are separated from the original sample, then separated microplastic are dried and stored in a dark environment with a controlled temperature (constant room temperature) to reduce degradation during storage (Gholizadeh and Cera, 2022).

Effects on living organisms

Microplastics and macroplastics enter the body of marine organisms in different ways. A recent study reported that about 700 marine species consumed microplastics and macroplastics (Gholizadeh and Cera, 2022; Abarghouei et al., 2021; Bagheri et al., 2020; Provencher et al., 2017). Due to the large presence and small size of microplastics, both pelagic (surface) organisms and benthic organisms (benthic) feed on them (Rummel et al., 2016). The physical effects of eating microplastics have been widely reported, including internal and external rupture and damage to the gastrointestinal tract, leading

to false satiety, physical deterioration, and malnutrition. Lazar and Gračan, 2011, Van Franeker et al., 2011, Bråte et al., 2016; Rummel et al., 2016). Obstruction of the gastrointestinal tract leads to false satiety, which in turn leads to effects such as: reduced reproduction, drowning, reduced predation avoidance, malnutrition and death. There is also the possibility of adsorption and accumulation of potentially toxic compounds in plastic particles and their transfer from seawater to living organisms (Gregory, 2009). Such side effects can also occur in smaller marine organisms (Besseling et al., 2012).

Trophic transmission

Zooplankton is known as a key marine species for energy, they are widely hunted by fish and other marine life. However, the effects of microplastics transmitted to other living organisms through zooplankton are still unclear. A study by Setälä et al. (2014) showed that microplastics could be transferred from a lower trophic pathway (mesoplankton) to a higher trophic pathway (macroplankton) through planktonic organisms. In addition, according to a study by Murray and Cowie (2011), microplastics can be transmitted from a microplastic-contaminated prey to a predator (in this case, polypropylene filaments contained by the fish into the lobster that feed on the fish); this indicates these omnivorous organisms can be exposed to microplastics through inactive ingestion of sediments or trophic level. Farrell and Nelson, (2013) recorded the transfer of spheres from oysters and their accumulation in gastric, hepatopancreatic, ovarian, and gill tissue samples. In nature, trophic transmission of microplastics may be increased due to this fact that prey or predator can be exposed to a wide variety of microplastics as well as different concentrations during their lifetime.

Fishes

Presence of microplastics is widely reported in fishes in the Mediterranean (Alomar and Deudero, 2017), Atlantic Sea (Lusher et al., 2015), North and Baltic Seas (Lenz et al.,

2016; Rummel et al., 2016), the Aegean and Mediterranean Seas (Yabanlı et al., 2019), Marmara Sea in Turkey (Çullu et al., 2021), Erzurum in Turkey (Çomaklı et al., 2020) and European coasts (Neves et al., 2015; Bråte et al., 2016). Malnutrition, starvation and declining fish populations are potential long-term consequences of the presence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of fish (Boerger et al., 2010; Cole et al., 2011). The presence of different microplastics in fish can be attributed to their feeding behaviors (Rummel et al., 2016). Non-selective scavenger fish, such as mackerel, may consume more microplastics than other fish. Mackerel are most affected because of their nutrient habitat, which is mainly located in the Plagia area and upper sea level, where floating and neutral floating microplastic particles are likely to be more available for consumption (Lusher et al., 2013). Mackerels also rely on their eyesight for nutrition, and they choose prey based on their color or shape, so the variety of colors and shapes of microplastics can confuse them (Nøttestad et al., 2015). de Sá et al. (2015) reported that common young globes (*Pomatoschistus microps*) used microplastics instead of natural prey. Eating microplastics significantly reduces the ability to hunt and affect prey selection. In vitro, the mortality rate of fish that consumed microplastics was significantly higher than control fish (Mazurais et al., 2015). In addition, the color of microplastics is also involved in their consumption as food by fish. Numerous studies have shown that epipelagic and mesopelagic fishes are more likely to consume microplastics during natural feeding activities because the microplastics and their prey are the same color (Boerger et al., 2010; Lusher et al., 2013).

Conclusion

The aim of current study was to survey the bioaccumulation of MPs by aquatic species in the marine environment. Also, the relationships between MPs and different habitats of marine species were examined. The level of MPs was compared in marine

species from different ecosystems in the land and sea environments. Finally, since the origin, fate and behavior of microplastics in various marine ecosystems is different, this means that the sources of microplastics in these ecosystems could have significant effects on living organisms and trophic transmission.

References

- Abarghouei, S., Hedayati, A., Raeisi, M., Hadavand, B.S., Rezaei, H. and Abed-Elmdoust, A. (2021). Size-dependent effects of microplastic on uptake, immune system, related gene expression and histopathology of goldfish (*Carassius auratus*). *Chemosphere*, 276, p.129977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129977>
- Alomar, C. & Deudero, S. (2017). Evidence of microplastic ingestion in the shark *Galeus melastomus Rafinesque, 1810* in the continental shelf off the western Mediterranean Sea. *Environmental Pollution*, 223, 223-229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.015>
- Amélineau, F., Bonnet, D., Heitz, O., Mortreux, V., Harding, A. M., Karnovsky, N., Walkusz, W., Fort, J. & Gremillet, D. (2016). Microplastic pollution in the Greenland Sea: Background levels and selective contamination of planktivorous diving seabirds. *Environmental pollution*, 219, 1131-1139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.09.017>
- Andrady, A. L. (2011). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 62, 1596-1605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.05.030>
- Andrady, A. L. (2017). The plastic in microplastics: a review. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 119, 12-22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.082>
- Andrady, A.L. and Neal, M.A. (2009). Applications and societal benefits of plastics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1526), pp.1977-1984. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0304>
- Arthur, C., Baker, J. & Bamford, H. (2009). Proceedings of the International Research Workshop on the Occurrence, Effects and Fate of Microplastic Marine Debris. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Technical Memorandum NOS-OR&R-30.
- Ates, M., Danabas, D., Ertit Tastan, B., Unal, I., Cicek Cimen, I.C., Aksu, O., Kutlu, B. and Arslan, Z., (2020). Assessment of oxidative stress on *Artemia salina* and *Daphnia magna* after exposure to Zn and ZnO nanoparticles. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 104(2), pp.206-214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-019-02751-6>
- Avio, C. G., Gorbi, S. & Regoli, F. (2017). Plastics and microplastics in the oceans: From emerging pollutants to emerged threat. *Marine environmental research*, 128, 2-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2016.05.012>
- Bagheri, T., Gholizadeh, M., Abarghouei, S., Zakeri, M., Hedayati, A., Rabaniha, M., Aghaeimoghadam, A. & Hafezieh, M. (2020). Microplastics distribution, abundance and composition in sediment, fishes and benthic organisms of the Gorgan Bay, Caspian sea. *Chemosphere*, 257, 127201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127201>
- Barnes, D. K., Galgani, F., Thompson, R. C. & Barlaz, M. (2009). Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364, 1985-1998. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0205>
- Besseling, E., Foekema, E., Van franeker, J., Leopold, M., Kühn, S., Rebolledo, E. B., Heße, E., Mielke, L., Ijzer, J. & Kamminga, P. (2015). Microplastic in a macro filter feeder: humpback whale *Megaptera novaeangliae*. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 95, 248-252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.04.007>

- Besseling, E., Wegner, A., Foekema, E. M., Van Den Heuvel-Greve, M. J. & Koelmans, A. A. (2012). Effects of microplastic on fitness and PCB bioaccumulation by the lugworm *Arenicola marina* (L.). *Environmental science & technology*, 47, 593-600. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es302763x>
- Boerger, C. M., Lattin, G. L., Moore, S. L. & Moore, C. J. (2010). Plastic ingestion by planktivorous fishes in the North Pacific Central Gyre. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 60, 2275-2278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.08.007>
- Bowmer, T., Kershaw, P. (2010). Proceedings of the GESAMP International Workshop on micro-plastic particles as a vector in transporting persistent, bioaccumulating and toxic substances in the oceans. Reports&Studies n.82. UNESCO-IOC, Paris.
- Bråte, I. L. N., Eidsvoll, D. P., Steindal, C. C. & Thomas, K. V. (2016). Plastic ingestion by Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) from the Norwegian coast. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 112, 105-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.08.034>
- Browne, M.A., Galloway, T.S. and Thompson, R.C. (2010). Spatial patterns of plastic debris along estuarine shorelines. *Environmental science & technology*, 44(9), pp.3404-3409. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es903784e>
- Burcea, A., Boeraş, I., Mihaş, C. M., Bănăduc, D., Matei, C., & Curtean-Bănăduc, A. (2020). Adding the Mureş River Basin (Transylvania, Romania) to the list of hotspots with high contamination with pharmaceuticals. *Sustainability*, 12(23), 10197. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310197>
- Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Halsband, C. & Galloway, T. S. (2011). Microplastics as contaminants in the marine environment: a review. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 62, 2588-2597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.09.025>
- Çomaklı, E., Bingöl, M. S., & Bilgili, A. (2020). Assessment of microplastic pollution in a crater lake at high altitude: A case study in an urban crater Lake in Erzurum, Turkey. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 231(6), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-020-04653-4>
- Corbanie, E. A., Matthijs, M. G., van Eck, J. H., Remon, J. P., Landman, W. J. & Vervaeet, C. (2006). Deposition of differently sized airborne microspheres in the respiratory tract of chickens. *Avian pathology*, 35, 475-485. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079450601028845>
- Cózar, A., Echevarría, F., González-Gordillo, J. I., Irigoien, X., Úbeda, B., Hernández-León, S., Palma, Á. T., Navarro, S., García-de-Lomas, J. & Ruiz, A. (2014). Plastic debris in the open ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 10239-10244. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314705111>
- Čulin, J. & Bielić, T. (2016). Plastic pollution from ships. *Pomorski zbornik*, 51, 57-66. <https://doi.org/10.18048/2016.51.04>
- Çullu, A. F., Sönmez, V. Z., & Sivri, N. (2021). Microplastic contamination in surface waters of the Küçükçekmece Lagoon, Marmara Sea (Turkey): Sources and areal distribution. *Environmental Pollution*, 268, 115801. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115801>
- da Costa, J. P., Santos, P. S., Duarte, A. C. & Rocha-Santos, T. (2016). (Nano) plastics in the environment—sources, fates and effects. *Science of the Total Environment*, 566, 15-26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.041>
- Danabas, D., Ates, M., Tastan, B. E., Cimen, I. C. C., Unal, I., Aksu, O., & Kutlu, B. (2020). Effects of Zn and ZnO nanoparticles on *Artemia salina* and *Daphnia magna* organisms: toxicity, accumulation and elimination. *Science of the Total Environment*, 711, 134869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134869>

- Davidson, K. & Dudas, S. E. (2016). Microplastic ingestion by wild and cultured Manila clams (*Venerupis philippinarum*) from Baynes Sound, British Columbia. *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 71, 147-156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00244-016-0286-4>
- De Sá, L. C., Luís, L. G. & Guilhermino, L. (2015). Effects of microplastics on juveniles of the common goby (*Pomatoschistus microps*): confusion with prey, reduction of the predatory performance and efficiency, and possible influence of developmental conditions. *Environmental Pollution*, 196, 359-362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.10.026>
- Derraik, J. G. (2002). The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 44, 842-852. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(02\)00220-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(02)00220-5)
- Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C. & Tassin, B. (2016). Synthetic fibers in atmospheric fallout: a source of microplastics in the environment? *Marine pollution bulletin*, 104, 290-293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.01.006>
- Duis, K. & Coors, A. (2016). Microplastics in the aquatic and terrestrial environment: sources (with a specific focus on personal care products), fate and effects. *Environmental Sciences Europe*, 28, 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-015-0069-y>
- Eriksen, M., Maximenko, N., Thiel, M., Cummins, A., Lattin, G., Wilson, S., Hafner, J., Zellers, A. & Rifman, S. (2013). Plastic pollution in the South Pacific subtropical gyre. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 68, 71-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.12.021>
- Farrell, P. & Nelson, K. (2013). Trophic level transfer of microplastic: *Mytilus edulis* (L.) to *Carcinus maenas* (L.). *Environmental pollution*, 177, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.01.046>
- Fok, L. & Cheung, P. K. (2015). Hong Kong at the Pearl River Estuary: a hotspot of microplastic pollution. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 99, 112-118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.07.050>
- Free, C. M., Jensen, O. P., Mason, S. A., Eriksen, M., Williamson, N. J. & Boldgiv, B. (2014). High-levels of microplastic pollution in a large, remote, mountain lake. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 85, 156-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.06.001>
- Gholizadeh, M., and Cera, A. (2022). Microplastic contamination in the sediments of Qarasu estuary in Gorgan Bay, south-east of Caspian Sea, Iran. *Science of The Total Environment*, 155913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155913>
- Good, T. P., June, J. A., Etnier, M. A. & Broadhurst, G. (2010). Derelict fishing nets in Puget Sound and the Northwest Straits: patterns and threats to marine fauna. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60, 39-50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.09.005>
- Gregory, M. R. (2009). Environmental implications of plastic debris in marine settings—entanglement, ingestion, smothering, hangers-on, hitch-hiking and alien invasions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364, 2013-2025. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0265>
- Isobe, A., Uchiyama-Matsumoto, K., Uchida, K. & Tokai, T. (2017). Microplastics in the Southern Ocean. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 114, 623-626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.037>
- Jambeck, J. R., Geyer, R., Wilcox, C., Siegler, T. R., Perryman, M., Andrady, A., Narayan, R. & Law, K. L. (2015). Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science*, 347, 768-771. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1260352>
- Kockisch, S., Rees, G. D., Young, S. A., Tsibouklis, J. & Smart, J. D. (2003). Polymeric microspheres for drug delivery to the oral cavity: an in vitro evaluation of mucoadhesive potential. *Journal of*

- pharmaceutical sciences, 92, 1614-1623. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.10423>
- Koelmans, A. A., Besseling, E. & Shim, W. J. (2015). Nanoplastics in the aquatic environment. Critical review. Marine anthropogenic litter. Springer, Cham.
- La Daana, K. K., Officer, R., Lyashevskaya, O., Thompson, R. C. & O'connor, I. (2017). Microplastic abundance, distribution and composition along a latitudinal gradient in the Atlantic Ocean. Marine pollution bulletin, 115, 307-314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.12.025>
- Lazar, B. & Gračan, R. (2011). Ingestion of marine debris by loggerhead sea turtles, *Caretta caretta*, in the Adriatic Sea. Marine pollution bulletin, 62, 43-47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.09.013>
- Lenz, R., Enders, K., Beer, S., Sørensen, T. & Stedmon, C. (2016). Analysis of microplastic in the stomachs of herring and cod from the North Sea and Baltic Sea. DTU Aqua National Institute of Aquatic Resources.
- Lusher, A., Mchugh, M. & Thompson, R. (2013). Occurrence of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract of pelagic and demersal fish from the English Channel. Marine pollution bulletin, 67, 94-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.11.028>
- Lusher, A., Welden, N., Sobral, P. & Cole, M. (2017). Sampling, isolating and identifying microplastics ingested by fish and invertebrates. Analytical Methods, 9, 1346-1360.
- Lusher, A. L., O'donnell, C., Officer, R. & O'connor, I. (2015). Microplastic interactions with North Atlantic mesopelagic fish. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73, 1214-1225.
- Masoudi, E. Hedayati, A. Bagheri, T. Salati, A. Safari, R. Gholizadeh, M. Zakeri, M. (2022). Different land uses influenced on characteristics and distribution of microplastics in Qarasu Basin Rivers, Gorgan Bay, Caspian Sea. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-20342-7>.
- Mazurais, D., Ernande, B., Quazuguel, P., Severe, A., Huelvan, C., Madec, L., Mouchel, O., Soudant, P., Robbens, J. & Huvet, A. (2015). Evaluation of the impact of polyethylene microbeads ingestion in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. Marine environmental research, 112, 78-85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2015.09.009>
- Moore, C.J., Moore, S.L., Leecaster, M.K. and Weisberg, S.B. (2001). A comparison of plastic and plankton in the North Pacific central gyre. Marine pollution bulletin, 42(12), pp.1297-1300. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X\(01\)00114-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-326X(01)00114-X)
- Murray, F. & Cowie, P. R. (2011). Plastic contamination in the decapod crustacean *Nephrops norvegicus* (Linnaeus, 1758). Marine pollution bulletin, 62, 1207-1217. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.03.032>
- Neves, D., Sobral, P., Ferreira, J. L. & Pereira, T. (2015). Ingestion of microplastics by commercial fish off the Portuguese coast. Marine pollution bulletin, 101, 119-126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.008>
- Nøttestad, L., Diaz, J., Penã, H., Søiland, H., Huse, G. & Fernö, A. (2015). Feeding strategy of mackerel in the Norwegian Sea relative to currents, temperature, and prey. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 73, 1127-1137. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv239>
- O'brine, T. & Thompson, R. C. (2010). Degradation of plastic carrier bags in the marine environment. Marine pollution bulletin, 60, 2279-2283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.08.005>
- Provencher, J. F., Bond, A. L., Avery-Gomm, S., Borrelle, S. B., Rebolledo, E. L. B.,

- Hammer, S., Kühn, S., Lavers, J. L., Mallory, M. L. & Trevail, A. (2017). Quantifying ingested debris in marine megafauna: a review and recommendations for standardization. *Analytical Methods*, 9, 1454-1469.
<https://doi.org/10.1039/C6AY02419J>
- Ramirez-Llodra, E., de Mol, B., Company, J. B., Coll, M. & Sardà, F. (2013). Effects of natural and anthropogenic processes in the distribution of marine litter in the deep Mediterranean Sea. *Progress in Oceanography*, 118, 273-287.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2013.07.027>
- Reddy, M. M., Vivekanandhan, S., Misra, M., Bhatia, S. K. & Mohanty, A. K. (2013). Biobased plastics and bionanocomposites: Current status and future opportunities. *Progress in polymer Science*, 38, 1653-1689.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2013.05.006>
- Reisser, J., Shaw, J., Hallegraeff, G., Proietti, M., Barnes, D. K., Thums, M., Wilcox, C., Hardesty, B. D. & Pattiaratchi, C. (2014). Millimeter-sized marine plastics: a new pelagic habitat for microorganisms and invertebrates. *PloS one*, 9, e100289.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100289>
- Ribic, C. A., Sheavly, S. B., Rugg, D. J. & Erdmann, E. S. (2010). Trends and drivers of marine debris on the Atlantic coast of the United States 1997–2007. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60, 1231-1242.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.03.021>
- Rummel, C. D., Löder, M. G., Fricke, N. F., Lang, T., Griebeler, E.-M., Janke, M. & Gerdt, G. (2016). Plastic ingestion by pelagic and demersal fish from the North Sea and Baltic Sea. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 102, 134-141.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.043>
- Ryan, P. G., Moore, C. J., Van Franeker, J. A. & Moloney, C. L. (2009). Monitoring the abundance of plastic debris in the marine environment. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364, 1999-2012.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0207>
- Setälä, O., Fleming-Lehtinen, V. & Lehtiniemi, M. (2014). Ingestion and transfer of microplastics in the planktonic food web. *Environmental pollution*, 185, 77-83.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2013.10.013>
- Song, Y. K., Hong, S. H., Jang, M., Han, G. M., Jung, S. W. & Shim, W. J. (2017). Combined effects of UV exposure duration and mechanical abrasion on microplastic fragmentation by polymer type. *Environmental science & technology*, 51, 4368-4376.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06155>
- Thompson, R. C. (2006). Plastic debris in the marine environment: consequences and solutions. *Marine Nature Conservation in Europe*, 193, 107-115.
- Thompson, R. C., Moore, C. J., Vom Saal, F. S. & Swan, S. H. (2009). Plastics, the environment and human health: current consensus and future trends. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364, 2153-2166.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0053>
- Tourinho, P. S., do Sul, J. A. & Fillmann, G. (2010). Is marine debris ingestion still a problem for the coastal marine biota of southern Brazil? *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60, 396-401.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.10.013>
- UNEP Regional Seas Programme, UNEP. Mediterranean Action Plan, Secretariat of the Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes, Their Disposal, UNEP/GPA Coordination Office and Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission. (2005). *Marine Litter: An Analytical Overview*.
- Van Franeker, J. A., Blaize, C., Danielsen, J., Fairclough, K., Gollan, J., Guse, N., Hansen, P.-L., Heubeck, M., Jensen, J.-K. & Le Guillou, G. (2011). Monitoring plastic ingestion by the northern fulmar *Fulmarus*

glacialis in the North Sea. *Environmental Pollution*, 159, 2609-2615.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.008>

Vert, M., Doi, Y., Hellwich, K.-H., Hess, M., Hodge, P., Kubisa, P., Rinaudo, M. & Schué, F. (2012). Terminology for biorelated polymers and applications (IUPAC Recommendations 2012). *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 84, 377-410.

Yabanlı, M., Yozukmaz, A., Şener, İ., & Ölmez, Ö. T. (2019). Microplastic pollution at the intersection of the Aegean and Mediterranean Seas: A study of the Datça Peninsula (Turkey). *Marine pollution bulletin*, 145, 47-55.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.05.003>

Zakeri, M., Naji, A., Akbarzadeh, A., & Uddin, S. (2020). Microplastic ingestion in important commercial fish in the southern Caspian Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 160, 111598.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111598>